

Notice of Appeal Under Section 40(1) of Fisheries (Amendment) Act 1997 (No.23)

API - 104-25 APPEAL FORM

	in accordance with So POST or by hand to										
Board, Kilming	chy Court, Dublin Ro	ad, Portla	noise, Co	. Laois, R	32 DTW5						
Name of Appellant (Block Letters)		x J	AMCS	CANRO	N		Be				
Address of Appellant		>			T		E				
v .							5 8 W				
THE THE PARTY OF T											
The second second											
Eircode	X III										
Phone No.	X						er bolow)				
Mobile No.	X	~	X	-							
Please note if there is any change to the details given above, the onus is on the appellant to ensure that ALAB is notified accordingly.											
FEES											
Fees must be received by the closing date for receipt of appeals							Amount	Tick			
An appeal by an applicant for a licence against a decision by the Minister in respect of that application						pect of	€380				
An appeal by the holder of a licence against the revocation or amendment of that licence by the Minister							€380				
An appeal by any other individual or organisation							€150	V			
Request for an Oral Hearing* (fee payable in addition to appeal fee) *In the event that the Board decides not to hold an Oral Hearing the fee will not be							€75				
refunded											
Fees can be paid	by way of Cheque or	Electronic	Funds 1	ransfer							
	vable to the Aquacultu Regulations, 2021 (S.I.			als Board i	n accordanc	e with the	Aquaculture	Licensing			
Electronic Funds Transfer Details IBAN. BIC: 1E89AIBK93104704051067					BIC: A	AIBKIE2D					
Paymenthe appropriet	to submit the appropriate of the correct fees must of the correct fees must will not be accepted to opriate fee (or a required).	ate fee wit ust be reco	h your ap	opeal will r	esult in you he closing d	late for rec	eipt of appeals	, otherwise			
-											



An Bord Achomhaire Um Cheadúnals Dobharshaothraithe | Aquaculture Electices Appeals Board Cuirt Choill Mhinsi, Bóthar Bhaile Átha Cliath, Port Laoise, Contae Laoise, R32 DTW5 Kilminchy Court, Dublin Road, Portiaoise, County Laois, R32 DTW5

Phone +353 (0) 57 8631917 R-phost/Email; info@alab xii www.alab fe



The Legislation governing the appeals is set out at Appendix 1 below.

SUBJECT MATTER OF THE APPEAL

I am writing to formally appeal the decision to grant an aquaculture licence to Woodstown Bay Shellfish Limited for bottom-culture mussel farming on a 23.1626-hectare site (T05-472A) in Kinsale Harbour, Co. Cork. While I acknowledge the Minister's consideration of relevant legislation and submissions received, I contend that the decision overlooks several material concerns that warrant further scrutiny.

Note that we have not had access to all of the relevant documentation online. This lack of access results in a structural bias within the appeals process, as it undermines transparency and prevents a clear understanding of how decisions were made. Public bodies have a duty to uphold public trust by ensuring transparency in their decision-making. The absence of complete documentation and clarity around the decision-making process significantly impairs our ability to conduct a thorough review and prepare an informed appeal.

Site Reference Number: -

(as allocated by the Department of Agriculture, Food, and the

T05-472A

APPELLANT'S PARTICULAR INTEREST

Briefly outline your particular interest in the outcome of the appeal:

MY INTEREST IN THE OUTCOME OF THIS APPLICATION COMES FROM HAVING A BED+ BREAKFAST BUSINESS IN KINSALE.

THERE ALREADY HAS BEEN A DILLINE IN THIS SECTOR SINCE COVID

AND I FEEL (ITND FERR) THAT ANY FURTHER REPUTATIONAL

DAMAGE, BUCK-SE OF THIS PROPOSED MUSSEL FARM DEVELOPMENT,

WILL RESULT IN A FURTHER FALL OFF IN VISITORS TO OUR TOWN.

GROUNDS OF APPEAL

State in full the grounds of appeal and the reasons, considerations, and arguments on which they are based) (if necessary, on additional page(s)):

] DENISCOT TO THE GRANTING OF A LICENCE ON THE FOLLOWING

- 1. UNDER SECT. 9 () (a) OF THE FISHERY-S (AMENDMENT) ACT 1997
 THERE IS A REDUREMENT TO INVESTIGATE "THE SUITABILITY OF
 THE PLACE OF WINTERS FOR AGRACULTURE"

 F DON'T BELIEVE ANY SPECIFIC SITE INSPECTION WAS DONE
- 2. UNDER SECT. 10 (3)(b): APPLICANTS (ARE) TO PHILISH OR GIVE SPECIFIED NOTICES RELATING TO THEIR APPLICATION " - [DON'T BELIEVE THIS WAS DONE.

TYPELLARN 1 = JAMES IN = MANNEY IN THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTA

GROUNDS OF AMEAL - CONTINUED.

3. THE UNDERMINING OF EXISTING BUSINESSES IN THE KINDALL AREA
AND THE NECATIVE THROUGH-I'UT ON THE LOCAL I. CONOMY HAS NOT
BEEN CONSIDERED.

AT THE VERY LEAST A FULL SIGIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT SHOULD HAVE BOOKS CARRIED OUT.

- 4. NO RECARD GIVEN AS TO THE SUITABILITY OF BOTH ACCESS AND INFRASTRUCTURE IN AND AROUND THE DUCK BEATH A CURSORY GLANCE WOULD REVEAL MAJOR SHORTCOMINOS
- 5. THAT THE DESTRICTION OF A MAN-R PAULAR AMENITY, IN USE FOR GENERATIONS, WOULD BE THE END RESULT OF THE GRANTING OF THIS LICENCE, DEPRIVING TOWNSPEOPLE AND VISITORS OF THEIR RIGHT TO SWIM, SNILL AND KAYAK AD THEY HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO HERE TO FORE.
- 6. THE KENGTH OF TIME FROM THE ORIGINAL AMULATION IN 2019 TO PERMISSION BEING GRANTED 6 YEARS LATER.

7.

NO ACCOUNT WAS THEN OF THE NUMEROUS OBJECTIONS LODGED AT THE TIME NOR WAS THERE ANY COMMUNICATION WITH THE LOCAL COMMUNITY IN THE INTERIM TO APPRAISE US OF THE SITUATION.

THE DUPWELTOUS NATURE OF "NECOTIATIONS" BETWEEN THE PROMOTER AND THE DEARRIMENT SHOWS WARRING AN INVESTIGATION IN TO THE PROCESS OF NATURAL LICENCES GIVEN THE WHOLESALE LEVEL OF ORDSITION TO THIS PROJECT IN 2019.

UNDER ARTICLE 12 OF THE HABITATS DIRECTIVE ANY DELIBERATE
DISTURBANCE OR DEGRADATION OF EXISTING SPECIES IS PROHIBITED.

HOW COULD THE PROPOSED BOTTOM CULTURE MUSSEL FARMING METHOD

BE ALLOWED IN THIS APPLICATION WHICH FLIES IN THE FACE OF THIS

DIRECTIVE.

AT A MINIMUM THIS APPLICATION SHOULD BE THE SUBJECT OF BOTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL EMPACT ASSESSMENT AND A SOCIAL EMPACT ASSESSMENT. THE VIEWS AND CINSIDERATIONS of THE COMMUNITY SHOWLD BE MISTERIED TO - THEY WERE NOT - AS GRANTING THIS LIVENCE IS A DESTRUCTIVE ABERRATION ON OUR TOWN AND ITS! CNVIRONS AND SHOWLD BE REVOKED.



CONFIRMATION NOTICE ON EIA PORTAL (if required)

In accordance with Section 41(1) f of the Fisheries (Amendment) Act 1997, where an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is required for the project in question, please provide a copy of the confirmation notice, or other evidence (such as the Portal ID Number) that the proposed aquaculture the subject of this appeal is included on the portal established under Section 172A of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (See Explanatory Note at Appendix 2 below for further information).

included on the portal established under Section 172A of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (See Explanatory Note at Appendix 2 below for further information).											
Please tick the relevant box below:											
EIA Portal Confirmation Notice is enclosed with this Notice of Appea											
Other evidence of Project's inclusion on EIA Portal is enclosed or set the Portal ID Number)											
An EIA was not completed in the Application stage/the Project does r Portal	A 🗸										
Details of other evidence											
Signed by the Appellant	Date	×	25.	1. 2025							
Please note that this form will only be accepted by REGISTERE offices	D POST	or h	anded	in to the AL	AB						
Payment of fees must be received on or before the closing date for receipt of appeals, otherwise the appeal will be deemed invalid.											

This Notice of Appeal should be completed under each heading, including all the documents, particulars, or information as specified in the notice and duly signed by the appellant, and may include such additional documents, particulars, or information relating to the appeal as the appellant considers necessary or appropriate."

DATA PROTECTION—the data collected for this purpose will be held by ALAB only as long in pions of account of the data collected for this purpose will be held by ALAB only as long includes publication on the ALAB website.